Teamscope: Teamwork Made Clear

An Ju (SID: 3030915369)

May 10, 2017

1 Introduction

Teaching software development process is an important component of computer science and engineering education. In practice, processes are used by software development teams to coordinate activities and combine skills[12]. As in social behavior studies, human behaviors in teams are conceptualized as activities, interactions, and sentiments[10]. Software development processes can be both considered as activities (visible actions of individuals and correctness of the execution), and interactions (connectedness of two or more people regardless of the activities). The activity aspect of a process can be quantitatively measured (for example, the velocity of a team can be measured by the number of features developed). However, processes as interactions are more difficult to measure. The goal of Teamscope is to provide visualizations that help understand both the activity and interaction aspect.

Visualization has its advantage over traditional evaluation methods in terms of validity, reliability and scalability. In classrooms, processes are measured mainly through surveys, questionnaires, interviews and human observations[6][14][7]. In all cases, validity and reliability of the evaluation result can be undermined by various reasons. For example, the evaluation can be biased by perspectives of evaluator[9]. Some studies address this problem by having several graders grading the same project[9][7], and/or having various data sources[7]. However, these methods also come with an increased amount of human power and expert time, and thus undermine the scalability of the class.

Teamscope is designed to support instructors to give valid evaluation to processes in scale. It provides visualizations that presents the execution of processes in a clear and transparent way, so that violations of processes can be noticed by instructors.

Teamscope is built upon several software development tools that are widely recognized in the software engineering community. Tools such as GitHub¹, PivotalTracker², Travis CI³, Slack⁴, and Code Climate⁵ (see Table 1 for detailed descriptions) are infrastructure for software development. We believe data from these tools combined can be used as a profile of the team project and the team itself. Teamscope collects data available from these data sources, synthesizes and analyzes following well-established practices in software engineering community, and presents the information to instructors, so that the instructor can have an objective and comprehensive profile of the project, and thus to design intervention accordingly.

2 Related Work

Our project is inspired by the work of Matthies et al. in 2016, in which they studied the teaching software development from three aspects[14], including a software that analyzes conformance of processes based on software development artifacts called ScrumLint (as is shown in Figure 1. Their work is built upon process conformance verification flow proposed in 2010 by Zazworka[17], in which they proposed a framework for design and improve metrics to measure the conformance of practices. However, the idea of process conformance and models to measure the conformance can be dated back to late 20th century[4][5].

¹https://github.com/

²https://pivotaltracker.com/

³https://travis-ci.org/

 $^{^{4}}$ https://slack.com/

⁵https://codeclimate.com/

n ×	Details How the score is calculated	75.8:::: Personal Code Ownership	Code which is edited t	heatily by low de	
Sprint 1 Sprint 2 Sprint 3 Sprint 4 Compare learns in Sprint 4	XP Practices (79.1)	collabora card Downing an advance typ over fixed (pinct set of two pinct) pagements or exception (pinct exception that advance advance in the typ was be approximate in another than the pinct exception (pinct exception to the pinct exception) and that an available of pinct exception (pinct exception) that the another of the advance			
Arm A Team B Team C Team D Team E	75.8:10 Personal Code Ownership core which is earlied teamly by two developers				
Dev. Productivity	76:111 Scary Monster Stories User shares that an Use lags.			ring the	
ScrumLint score of	BELE: IN: Introducing: Unterstool Complexity! Converts that recovered code complexity where the recovery in the converge.				
87,24/100	Teaching Scores (10.0)		13 Corper trans		a matra
07.24/100	.00 tot. Tutor Scores university systemetrys.	Flerane	AuthorAmount	Authors	Ð
NP Practices	Dev. Productivity (88.16)	applviews/groups/edit.html.eds		Alce, Bob	1
	96.5-111 Just In Time Development counts when 21 moutes spire deadline	applviews/rooms/index.html.erb applviews/groups/index.html.erb		Alce, Bob Alce, Bob	- 10
	87.1.110 Don't Be Afraid of Commitment Average amount of commits per developer (*	applviews/groups/_users.html.erb	2	Alce, Bob	10
	93.8 (10) Daily User Story Quota Annual of user story a developer to ansigned on evenyo- per bay.	applassets/by/esheets/events/show.css	2	Bob. Charlie	2
41 Spret2 Spret3 Spret4	150 (10) Need for Speedy pull requests) nut requests that were closed when 20 minute where the comment.				_
S How the score is calculated	Backlog Maintenance (99.47)				
ctices (79.1)	95.5-110 Lottle and Lisa issues when we suspected diplodes.	53 Sprint 1 Sprint 2 Time since last update: 1 month, 2 weeks	Sprint 0		
Personal Code Ownership 3	100 cm. The Neverending Story User stores that were in the backing of 1 or more				
Scary Monster Stories		76:00 Scary Monster Stories Use in	ries that are too large.		

Figure 1: Screenshots from ScrumLint[14]



(a) Teaching git operations with visualizations.



(b) Visualizing Repositories.



The goal of our system is similar to ScrumLint. However, as is shown in Figure 1, Matthies et al. uses mostly metrics and rubrics, which is different from our system. We believe visualizations can better help scale the evaluation of teams and teamwork.

Our system is designed to help the teaching of classes that involve team projects. However, the system can provide us with opportunities beyond process conformance. For example, it can be used to help novice programmers[3], and make defect predictions[16]. So the usage of the system is not limited to classrooms.

Visualizing processes is relatively new in the area of software develop education. However, we have seen previous works that apply visualization to software development tools such as GitHub. The goal of these works range from teaching git operations⁶ (see Figure 2a for a screenshot) to visualizing the change of git repositories⁷ (see Figure 2b for a screenshot).

Data used in our case study is focused on teaching Agile methods. There has been several studies on teaching Agile methods, and more on the application of Agile methods in general[8]. The design of our metrics follows some best practices studied and recommended in some of these works.

3 Methods and Design

3.1 Data

We collect data from a list of software development tools listed in Table 1. All these systems support API access. Necessary information to fetch data from API is provided by the instructor.

Our study uses past student projects from CS169 at Berkeley as dataset.

3.2 System Design

In this section, we will present the design of our system. Targeted audience of our system include both instructors and students. The interface for both parties are similar, while the workflow is

⁶http://git-school.github.io/visualizing-git/

⁷http://ghv.artzub.com

Tool	Description
GitHub	GitHub is one of the largest version based code hosts. It is also a plat- form for project management and team collaboration.
PivotalTracker	Pivotal Tracker is a straightfor- ward project-planning tool that en- courages Agile software development method.
Code Climate	Code Climate provides a suit of static code analysis tools, and gener- ates real-time reports on code quali- ties.
Travis CI	Travis CI is a continuous integra- tion service used to build and test projects hosted on GitHub.
Slack	Slack is a popular team communica- tion system.

Table 1: A list of data sources and their description



Figure 3: The dashboard page of Teamscope

slightly different. For simplicity, we present our design for instructors in this report. The difference between user interfaces for instructors and students will be mentioned in the description.

Our design follows Shneiderman's Manta, which is

Overview first, zoom and filter, then details-on-demand.^[2]

The user (instructor or student) first see the overview of the performance over all practices. Then he or she can move into a single team and on one specified practice only. A more detailed page will presented that allows the user to explore data from different perspectives. The design of visualizations keeps most detailed information available on-demand, so that the user can analyze abnormalities and outliers.

3.2.1 Dashboard

Dashboard page contains an overview for all projects. Figure 3 is a screenshot.

The dashboard page is mainly composed of a table, whose rows are projects(teams) and columns are practices. Each cell thus indicates how well each team performs on the corresponding practice.

Project 2			
Story overall	Story Lists O what's this?		
Story overall	Story LISts What's this?		
Pivotal tracker	ID	Story Name	Current State
Story transition	132362993	search bird on map	started
Point estimation	132362521	User sign in	started
	132362989	see birds on map view	started
	132362981	user sign up	started
	132363015	see bird details on map view	finished
	132364907	User's wish list	finished
	132365479	Recommandation system	unstarted
	134232135	Navigate the menu	unstarted
	Story Transitions O what	t's this?	
	HEDG119 - H707689 - H707699 - H707699 - H70769 - H70649 - H70649 - H70649 - H70649 - H70649 - H70649 - H70769 - H70769 - H70769 - H707769 - H707		

Figure 4: The detail page of Teamscope

From this overview page, the instructor can be instantly directed to teams that are having trouble with certain practices. For students, this page can help them compare with other teams, and their own performance across different practices.

Furthermore, the dashboard page supports selection of time. The user can selectively see the view at different times. This functionality allows the user to explore the trend of performance over time.

Each cell contains a 'see details' button, which will direct the user to a detail page for the team on the practice. The design of detail page is explained in Section 3.2.2.

For instructors, the dashboard also provide links to create and edit teams, control the layout (what practices to be shown in the dashboard page, and what visualizations should be presented in the detailed page of each practice), and manage user privileges. We will skip them in this report since they are less relevant to visualization design.

3.2.2 Detail Page

Detail page presents a breakdown of a team's performance on a given practice. This gives the user ability to explore data from various aspects with the help of carefully designed visualizations. Figure 4 is a screenshot.

Detail page lists all visualizations in an ordered manner. Right now we don't support the design or rearrangement of all visualizations. But as is mentioned in Section 3.2.1, users can control what visualizations appear in the detail page of a given practice.

A navigation bar is shown on the left side to help the user navigating through different visualizations.

3.2.3 Explanation Page

Most visualizations will have a explanation page to help the user understand the meaning and usage of this visualization. Figure 5 is a screenshot.

The explanation page can be accessed by clicking "what's this?" button from detail page.

3.3 Practice Visualization Design

In this section, we will present the design of visualizations that help the user understand the performance on a given practice.

3.3.1 Practices

The system is designed for a software development course at UC Berkeley. Practices covered in this course are based on Agile[1], a software development methodology that emphasizes values such



Figure 5: The explanation page of Teamscope

as quick iterations, sustainable pace, continuous customer involvements, and test driven design. Practices in this system are selected based on our understanding of Agile practices and several previous studies on teaching Agile methods. In particular, we are inspired by the work of Krebs in 2002[13], and the work of Igaki et al. in 2014[11].

- 1. Collective Ownership. Knowledge of the code repository should be shared among team members.
- 2. Continuous Integration. System integration should be frequent and continuous.
- 3. Story Plan. Stories should be "SMART" (Specific, Measurable, Attainable, Realistic, and Time Driven). Story management follow the prescribed stage definition.
- 4. Role Playing. Team members should follow the division of responsibilities based different roles in an Agile team.
- 5. Equal Opportunity. All team members should have equal opportunity to learn every aspect of Agile methods.
- 6. Simple Design and Refactoring. The system should be simple and constantly refactored.
- 7. Team Communication. Team members should communicate with each other frequently.
- 8. Customer Access. Team should be in close contact with the client. Team receives requests from the client and delivers new features to the client with a reasonable pace.
- 9. Code Standards. The team should keep a healthy code base, with a reasonable coding standard and style grade.
- 10. Test Driven Design and Behavior Driven Design.

As is shown in Table 2, these ten practices can be divided into three levels: technical practices, collaboration practices, and agile values [15].

Pyramid Level	Practices
Technical Practices	2, 6, 9, 10
Collaboration Practices	1, 3, 4, 7, 8
Agile Values	5

Table 2: Agile Pyramid

Our visualization focuses on the first two levels, because practices on these two levels are more objective and can be attached to some development artifacts. Equal Opportunity has important meaning in classrooms. Thus we also select this as a practice of interest.

3.3.2 Visualization Design for Story Plan

For now, we only implemented visualization one practice, which is Story Plan. In this section, we will present the design of this practice. The design for other practices will follow a similar idea.

Data used for this visualization comes from Pivotal Tracker. As a brief introduction, the central idea of Pivotal Tracker is 'story'. Each story in Pivotal Tracker is a feature for the team to implement. Each story has specifications, types, estimations of required effort to finish ("points"), and should go through a series of stages (scheduled, started, finished, delivered, accepted).

Details is presented at the very beginning of our design. This part presents a list of all user stories from Pivotal Tracker Figure 6 shows an screenshot. This part presents all information that will be important for the user to understand the story.

The background color of table rows represent current state of the story. This information is in particular important for the user because the user will focus more on stories that are finished/delivered.

Transitions of stories are presented with a graph shown in Figure 7. In this graph, each row represents a story. Dots represent story state transitions. The color of the line represents the state of the story, which is consistent with the table list.

The lower part of the graph allows the user to selectively see story transitions in a given time period. It also presents a abstract view of all transitions.

Story Lists	O what's this?		
ID		Story Name	Current State
132362993		search bird on map	started
132362521		User sign in	started
132362989		see birds on map view	started
132362981		user sign up	started
132363015		see bird details on map view	finished
132364907		User's wish list	finished
132365479		Recommandation system	unstarted
134232135		Navigate the menu	unstarted
132363087		track my birds	unscheduled

Figure 6: Visualization for Story Plan: Story list

Two other graphs are also presented to help understand the conformance of some sub-practices, as is shown in Figure 8. Figure 8a shows the current states of all stories, while Figure 8b is designed to visualize whether students have a good estimation of story points. The coloring of pie chart is consistent with other graphs.

Brush and linking is considered as an important feature of this design. Currently graphs presented above do not share the same dataset. This will be refactored in the future. In each detail page, the selection and filtering of elements in one graph will affect all graphs. This can help the user explore the dataset.

3.4 Tools

Our website is built with Ruby on Rails. Each metric is developed as a Gem for the system, which can be easily configured. This way the user can design their own version of the system and their own metrics.

All visualizations are implemented with HTML and Javascript. Graphs are plotted mainly with D3 and Highcharts.



Figure 7: Visualization for Story Plan: Story Transitions





(a) A pie chart of current story states (b



Figure 8: Visualization for Story Plan: two graphs to help understand some sub-practices.

4 Evaluation

We do not have evaluation result yet. The system will be evaluated in the future with experiments in a software engineering class.

The class is an upper-level computer engineering class at Berkeley. It will be given in both summer and fall semester in 2017. We plan to deploy our system to both semesters.

In the summer semester, we plan to run a small-scale preliminary experiment to test the usability of our system. Some research questions are:

- RQ1 what features can best attract users, what features do users value most;
- RQ2 which part of system is used by users and what is the usage pattern;
 - RQ2.1 what is the usage frequency, is the system used on a regular basis or on demand;
 - RQ2.2 what the distribution of stay time on different pages;
 - RQ2.3 what is the order of view;
 - RQ2.4 does the usage pattern change over time and what is the reason for that change;
 - RQ2.5 will students view other teams' detail page, and does it help with learning;
- RQ3 for instructors, whether the system can help scale the classroom;

- RQ4 for instructors, whether the system can help them grade more objectively, in what aspects can the system help most
 - RQ4.1 what aspects are made clearer by the system;
 - RQ4.2 what aspects are overlooked by the system;
 - RQ4.3 what new biases are potentially introduced by the system;
 - RQ4.4 whether the comparison among teams are made easier by the system, whether the same set of standards is used among gradings of different teams;
- RQ5 for students, whether using the system can help them follow practices prescribed by the instructor, and how;

RQ5.1 whether using the system can increase the awareness of some practices;

RQ5.2 will they change their behaviors accordingly;

- RQ6 for students, whether using the system can help them build better product, and how;
 - RQ6.1 what is the correlation between code metrics (such as code style, code quality) and usage patterns (such as frequency, stay time, etc.)
 - RQ6.2 what is the correlation between code metrics and their attitudes towards the system;

RQ6.3 what is the correlation between code metrics and awareness of practices;

RQ7 for students, whether using the system can improve their learning, and how;

The evaluation will be a combination of surveys, interviews, and log analysis.

Surveys can help understand all research questions listed above. Surveys will be provided to students at the end of the semester and/or on a regular basis to understand the change over time.

Interviews can help us understand the reasoning behind survey results. Besides, since this is a small-scale class, we do not have enough instructors to get valid survey results. So interviews can provide us with instructors' perspectives.

Log analysis can help us understand RQ 2. The system logs can reveal the history of system usages, which can be used to answer RQ2.

Student learning can be measure by mid-term and final exams, which are scheduled this summer to test their understanding of Agile processes.

5 Future Work

In this section we will talk about some future plans, especially what visualizations will be developed before the summer semester begins.

5.1 Practices Focused vs. Tool Focused

Our original design was sets of visualizations for different practices. However, as discussions with some previous GSIs suggest, instructors are more comfortable with dividing visualizations by tools instead of by practices. Another benefit of tool-focused design is that raw data on each platform can be presented in an organized manner, instead of scatter among different pages as in practicefocused design.

For tool-focused design, visualizations will be organized around features. For Pivotal Tracker, visualizations are organized around stories. For GitHub, visualizations are organized around branches. For Slack, visualizations are organized around topics or channels. Features are what most important for a software product. Besides, as our interview suggests, exploring data based on features is what GSIs did previously. So the system will be natural for them to use.

However, we do not want to confuse students since concepts of various practices are blurred in tool-focused design. So we plan to make practices explicit in each detail page. Besides, it will might be useful to have visualizations that are built on multiple data sources. These visualizations will also be considered in the future.

5.2 Practice-based Design

There are ten practices listed in Section 3.3.1. It is impractical and inappropriate to list all ten practices in the dashboard. Some practices must be combined. In this section, we emphasize on two practices.

5.2.1 Visualizations for Collective Ownership

Following functionalities should be supported by visualizations

- Read the estimated knowledge of a given person on a given file.
- See estimated knowledge from different levels (files, directories, parts of the system).
- See the change over time.

There are several ways of estimating the knowledge of a person over a file. The simplest one is to estimate based on lines of contribution. Some more advanced methods will consider from function level instead file level.

5.2.2 Visualizations for Continuous Integration

Continuous integration is supported by TravisCI. Following functionalities should be supported by visualizations

- See the history of integrations.
- Rearrange the history of integrations with different criteria (by branches, authors, or time).
- Highlight states of integrations, and calculate the time between two integrations.
- Read outputs of a given integration, better in a formatted way.

5.3 Tool-based Design

5.3.1 Visualizations for GitHub

Following functionalities should be supported by visualizations

- Overview of branches, pull requests, and issues.
- Read all commits from a branch or pull request.
- Read the change of test coverage and number of test cases over time.
- Read the usage of branches and highlight merges.

5.3.2 Visualizations for Slack

Visualizations for Slack can suffer from the problem that it cannot capture all communications. But the design of visualizations is built upon the assumption that most necessary communications (discussions related to the development of the product) happen in Slack.

Following functionalities should be supported by visualizations

- Overview of communication frequencies in each channel for each person.
- Communication pattern of a given topic.
- Connect and highlight questions and responses.

References

- Kent Beck, Mike Beedle, Arie Van Bennekum, Alistair Cockburn, Ward Cunningham, Martin Fowler, James Grenning, Jim Highsmith, Andrew Hunt, Ron Jeffries, and others. 2001. The agile manifesto. (2001).
- [2] Stuart K Card, Jock D Mackinlay, and Ben Shneiderman. 1999. *Readings in information visualization: using vision to think.* Morgan Kaufmann.
- [3] Rachel Cardell-Oliver. 2011. How can software metrics help novice programmers?. In Proceedings of the Thirteenth Australasian Computing Education Conference-Volume 114. Australian Computer Society, Inc., 55–62.
- [4] Jonathan E Cook and Alexander L Wolf. 1998. Discovering models of software processes from event-based data. ACM Transactions on Software Engineering and Methodology (TOSEM) 7, 3 (1998), 215–249.
- [5] Jonathan E Cook and Alexander L Wolf. 1999. Software process validation: quantitatively measuring the correspondence of a process to a model. ACM Transactions on Software Engineering and Methodology (TOSEM) 8, 2 (1999), 147–176.
- [6] Vladan Devedzic and others. 2011. Teaching agile software development: A case study. *IEEE Transactions on Education* 54, 2 (2011), 273–278.
- [7] Yael Dubinsky and Orit Hazzan. 2005. A framework for teaching software development methods. Computer Science Education 15, 4 (2005), 275–296.
- [8] Tore Dybå and Torgeir Dingsøyr. 2008. Empirical studies of agile software development: A systematic review. Information and software technology 50, 9 (2008), 833–859.
- [9] Martin Hoegl and Hans Georg Gemuenden. 2001. Teamwork quality and the success of innovative projects: A theoretical concept and empirical evidence. Organization science 12, 4 (2001), 435–449.
- [10] George C Homans. 1974. Social behavior: Its elementary forms. (1974).
- [11] Hiroshi Igaki, Naoki Fukuyasu, Sachio Saiki, Shinsuke Matsumoto, and Shinji Kusumoto. 2014. Quantitative assessment with using ticket driven development for teaching scrum framework. In Companion Proceedings of the 36th International Conference on Software Engineering. ACM, 372–381.
- [12] Steve WJ Kozlowski and Bradford S Bell. 2003. Work groups and teams in organizations. Handbook of psychology (2003).
- [13] William Krebs. 2002. Turning the knobs: A coaching pattern for XP through agile metrics. In *Conference on Extreme Programming and Agile Methods*. Springer, 60–69.
- [14] Christoph Matthies, Thomas Kowark, Keven Richly, Matthias Uflacker, and Hasso Plattner. 2016. How surveys, tutors, and software help to assess Scrum adoption in a classroom software engineering project. In *Proceedings of the 38th International Conference on Software Engineering Companion*. ACM, 313–322.
- [15] Andreas Meier, Martin Kropp, and Gerald Perellano. 2016. Experience Report of Teaching Agile Collaboration and Values: Agile Software Development in Large Student Teams. In Software Engineering Education and Training (CSEET), 2016 IEEE 29th International Conference on. IEEE, 76–80.
- [16] Raimund Moser, Witold Pedrycz, and Giancarlo Succi. 2008. A comparative analysis of the efficiency of change metrics and static code attributes for defect prediction. In 2008 ACM/IEEE 30th International Conference on Software Engineering. IEEE, 181–190.
- [17] Nico Zazworka, Kai Stapel, Eric Knauss, Forrest Shull, Victor R Basili, and Kurt Schneider. 2010. Are developers complying with the process: an XP study. In Proceedings of the 2010 ACM-IEEE International Symposium on Empirical Software Engineering and Measurement. ACM, 14.