Digital Advertising and Marketing: A review of three generations (Part 1) (Part 2: on Friday, April 13)

James G. Shanahan¹ ¹Independent Consultant EMAIL: James_DOT_Shanahan_AT_gmail_DOT_com

> I 296A UC Berkeley Lecture 5, Wednesday February 15, 2012 e and analytics © 2012 James G. Shanahan Jame

James.Shanahan_AT_gmail.com





Executive Summary

Advertisers deliver a message to consumers via publishers Online advertising revenue growing annually (double digits)

- €14.7Billion in Europe, €16.3B (\$23B) in USA (2009), €44B (\$65B) worldW
- 10% of overall ad spend corresponds to online advertising
- Business Models: CPM, CPC, CPA, dCPM
- Online advertising is dominated by search, \rightarrow display, social and mobile **Key directions**
- Paid search drove the first shift in the early 2000s, rapidly transforming the web and creating/enabling previously unworkable business models. In the coming decade, social, as a category, is poised to do the same thing.
 Forward markets → Spot Markets
- Technology: Economics, IR, ML, OR, Game Theory, Stats, Social Sciences (estimating CTR/AR; auction systems; learning algorithms; targeting display advertising; behavioral targeting; social advertising)
- New Directions: Web 3.0; games; social advertising; exchanges
- Gap: perceived gap between academia and industry? 1295A: Thought leaders in data science and analytics © 2012 James G. Shanahan James.Shanahan_AT_gmail.com





























doubleclick ad planner by Carde of Street Street Street WorldWide for 2/2011 Give of Street ack Help Street (Excludes porn, and some of Google)						back Help Sign		
World	Worldwide Country							
The 1	The 1000 most-visited sites on the web Data: February 2011							
Learn n	earn more about this list							
Rank	Site	Category	Unique Visitors (users)	Reach	Page Views	Has Advertising		
1	P facebook.com	Social Networks	590,000,000	38.1%	770,000,000,000	Yes		
2	P youtube.com	Online Video	490,000,000	31.5%	85,000,000,000	Yes		
3	P yahoo.com	Web Portals	410,000,000	26.7%	69,000,000,000	Yes		
4	🖓 live.com	Search Engines	340,000,000	22.2%	37,000,000,000	Yes		
5	d ^{ag} <u>wikipedia.org</u>	Dictionaries & Encyclopedias	280,000,000	18.1%	7,100,000,000	No		
6	P msn.com	Web Portals	260,000,000	16.6%	13,000,000,000	Yes		
7	🖓 <u>baidu.com</u>	Search Engines	230,000,000	14.9%	120,000,000,000	Yes		
8	P blogspot.com	Blogging Resources & Services	230,000,000	15.2%	5,300,000,000	Yes		
9	P microsoft.com	Software	190,000,000	12.5%	3,000,000,000	Yes		
10	🖓 <u>qq.com</u>	Web Portals	190,000,000	12.2%	39,000,000,000	Yes		
11	t [®] <u>bing.com</u>	Search Engines	160,000,000	10.2%	5,800,000,000	Yes		
12	🖓 <u>youku.com</u>	Online Video	99,000,000	6.4%	3,700,000,000	Yes		
13	P twitter.com	Email & Messaging	98,000,000	6.4%	5,800,000,000	Yes		
14	t [™] adobe.com	Multimedia Software	98,000,000	6.4%	1,100,000,000	Yes		
15	🖾 taobao.com	Classifieds	97,000,000	6.3%	9,400,000,000	Yes		

























N	Marketing Objectives vs. Advertising Stages					
	Stages	ARF Media Model	Questions Answered	Metrics and Typical Sources		
1	Getting the Opportunity to Deliver the Message	 Vehicle Distribution Vehicle Exposure Advertising Exposure 	Did anyone see it and how often? Did it get through <u>technical</u> firewalls?	Reach / Frequency Sources: audience panels, ad servers, email providers		
2	Building Brand and Message Awareness	 Advertising Attentiveness Advertising Communication 	Do they know of the brand and the message? Did it get through <u>mental</u> firewalls?	Brand survey data points Sources: most companies, could also be inferent from search outme as a related indicator of awareness and interest		
3	Increasing Positive Predisposition	 Advertising Persuasion Advertising Response 	Do they think favorably of the brand? Would they consider it?			
4	Causing Behavior	 Advertising Response Sales Response 	Did they take action on it? Did they: click/sign up/tell others/go to the store/buy it?	A variety of behavior metrics (e.g., rollover, play, click-thru, view-thru, sales) Sources: ad technology, ad!site/email server, retail sales		
Parallels with existing media				ing media		
	1. Print<->webpages					
[Source: http://www.mb-blog.com/ Images/OnlinePOVfinal.pdf 2. Direct Response<				<->interactivity @		









Advertisers: ROI versus CPM					
	СРМ	ROI per \$1 Spent			
Outdoor	\$1-5				
Cable TV	\$5-8	\$6.81			
Radio	\$8	\$8.60			
Online		\$19.83			
–Display \$5-30	\$5-\$30	\$19.78			
-Contextual	\$1-\$5				
–Search	\$1 - \$200	\$21.84			
Social	\$0.2-\$5	\$12.57			
Mobile	\$0.2-\$6	\$7.50			
Email		\$44.93			
Network/Local TV	\$20	\$6.81			
Magazine	\$10-30	\$10.11	[ROI based on DMA Power		
Newspaper	\$30-35	\$12.77	of direct marketing 2010		
Direct Mail	\$250	\$7.34-\$15.28	(00.0)		
I 295A: Thought leaders in data	a science and analyt	ics © 2012 James G. Shanahan	James.Shanahan_AT_gmail.com 38		













IAB Products to Keep You Informed	NOTE: All dimensions are in pixels.		IAE	3 Sta	Indard	ls
IAB Products for Your Business	Rectangles and Pop-ops					
IAB Compliance Seal Program			Recommended Maximum Initial Download Fileweight	Recommended Animation Length (Seconds)		
Interactive	300 x 250 IMU - (Medium Rectangle)	View IMU	40k	:15		
Advertising	250 × 250 IMU - (Square Pop-Up)	View IMU	40k	:15		
Bureau (IAB)	240 x 400 IMU · (Vertical Rectangle)	View IMU	40k	:15		
is comprised	336 x 280 IMU - (Large Rectangle)	View IMU	40k	:15		
of more than	180 x 150 IMU - (Rectangle)	View IMU	40k	:15		
	NEW 300×100 IMU - (3:1 Rectangle)	View IMU	40k	:15		
375 leading	*NEW* 720x300 IMU - (Pop-Under)	View IMU	40k	:15		
media and technology	Banners and Buttons					
companies	468 x 60 IMU - (Full Banner)	View IMU	40k	:15		
who are	234 x 60 IMU - (Half Banner)	View IMU	30k	:15		
	88 x 31 IMU - (Micro Bar)	View IMU	10k	:15		
responsible	120 x 90 IMU - (Button 1)	View IMU	20k	:15		
for selling 86%	120 × 60 IMU - (Button 2)	View IMU	20k	:15		
of online	120 x 240 IMU - (Vertical Banner)	View IMU	30k	:15		
advertising in	125 × 125 IMU - (Square Button)	view IMU	30k	:15		
the United	728 x 90 IMU - (Leaderboard) Skyscrapers	View IMU	40k	:15		
States	160 x 600 IMU - (Wide Skyscraper)	View IMU	40k	:15	_gmail.com	45
	(200 ··· (200 ······) (Charmone)	10.000	401	145		































Bad Ad Placement?				
smh.com.au Burn	baby. Burn!			
[back to Breaking News index]	Leverage sentiment to avoid? [Shanahan et al., AAAI Symposium 2005			
One toddler dead, and	other critical after house fire			
Source: AAPIPublished: Friday June 29,	11:17 AM			
A baby has been killed and a toddler criti	cally injured in a house fire in Perth.			
The 15-month-old boy died in the fire, and	his two-year-old brother is now in intensive care in the Princess Ma			
Police say they're still investigating the ca	ause of the blaze, that broke out around 7pm (WST) last night.			
But they say it may have started from an	open fire.			
[Source: LivingWeb.con	n]			
I 295A: Thought leaders in data science and anal	lytics © 2012 James G. Shanahan James.Shanahan_AT_gmail.com 61			













































- · Their sole objective - Optimize ROI for the advertiser and revenue for the ad network.
- · Today over 350 ad networks - Some of the bigger ad networks include Google, Yahoo, and Microsoft.
- · DoubleClick (acquired by Google in 2007) was one of the first online ad networks, 1996-97 http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/DoubleClick

























The Media Buyer's Nightmare: optimizing

- Media buyer would analyze, optimize
 - Buyers could then login to run reports, optimize campaigns or make minor tweaks and changes. Media buyers (and their clients) who needed mass impression inventory would have to perform this task over dozens, if not hundreds, of sources to achieve scale, since in this highly fragmented space no provider has a dominant share of the inventory.
- A big agency could work with as many as a thousand digital media vendors when you count the publishers, exchanges, ad networks, and intermediaries.
 - Suddenly, buyers were logging into numerous interfaces, pulling and collating disparate reports and are left trusting dozens of black boxes to run their ads in the right places. Very simply, the fragmentation in the display space made digital media buying a nightmare.
 - Moreover, the vendor was in control of where the ads ran, which inhibited transparency and targeting for the buyer.

Real-Time Bidding (RTB) Exchanges

- RTB changes the media buyer's nightmare.
- Instead of each individual media buyer having to learn and rely on a incongruous collection of their vendors' ad software, they can instead use a single DSP (defined in a moment) to manage buying all in one place.
 - This (DSP) single platform aggregates multiple inventory sources, making it possible to target very narrowly defined audience segments at scale using a single standard without fear of overlap.
 - Buyers can now use data they have collected and developed about their customers' target users and communicate with those users directly as individuals.
- The modes of buying shift from targeting inventory sources to targeting individual users, and in turn, audiences.

ught leaders in data science and analytics © 2012 James G. Shanahan James.Shanahan_AT_gmail.com













- Currently, publishers sell remnant inventory

 on the exchange for advertisers to purchase through bidding on a user-friendly interface.
- Ad Exchanges do not compete with ad networks

 targeting technologies, or publishers, but rather serve as a
 more efficient way for the exchange of inventory within these
 groups
- Googles acquired DoubleClick, Yahoo acq RightMedia, etc.. \$11 in M&A in 2007

I 295A: Thought leaders in data science and analytics © 2012 James G. Shanahan James.Shanahan_AT_gmail.com

Ad Exchanges: a new SD Marketplace

- The ad exchange is a real time marketplace with an auction-based system where the participants advertisers and publishers – transact on a common platform to purchase and sell online graphical advertising.
- Currently, publishers place remnant inventory on the exchange for advertisers to purchase through bidding on a user-friendly interface.
- Ad Exchanges do not compete with ad networks, targeting technologies, or publishers, but rather serve as a more efficient way for the exchange of inventory within these groups

I 295A: Thought leaders in data science and analytics © 2012 James G. Shanahan James.Shanahan_AT_gmail.com

Why Ad Exchanges?

- · No discrimination (everyone can play almost)
 - Elimination of intermediaries and their margins
 Interests of smaller niche players safeguarded as existing relationships and budget sizes exert no influence and each bidder has equal access to the media
- More efficient
- Transparent and dynamic pricing landscape due to open bidding process
- Reduced operational friction due to improved clarity of placement of ad serving on a website
- Enhanced efficiency due to simplification and standardization of business processes
- Improved liquidity of ad inventory
- Increased role of technology to automate and

provide a common platform

95A: Thought leaders in data science and analytics © 2012 James G. Shanahan James.Shanahan_AT_gmail.com





DSPs Ties it all together

- A DSP should be completely transparent in all aspects of the media and data buying process.
- There should be an interface that enables the buyer to manage all of their campaigns and transparently see costs, data, sites, conversions and impression attribution.
- The DSP should have cookie mapping and data sharing systems in place to enable integrations with third party data suppliers, agency data, analytics companies and client data. This process should be possible both on and off line.
- There should be no conflicts that would cause the DSP to do anything not in the best interests of its clients. This includes data, publishers, partners and conformation of 2012 James 0 Standard James Standard, Ar_gmal.com

DSPs Provides Analytics and Decisioning

- DSPs generally calculate the value of each impression in real-time individually relative to the various characteristics of the impression.
- This process enables efficient and effective media buying.

han_AT_gn

I 295A: Thought leaders in data science and analytics @ 2012 James G. Shanahan









