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Levels of Abstraction in Process Modeling

ebXML Process Metamodel: Processes, Collaborations, and Transactions

Constructs in process models and BPMN
What Are You Doing Now?

If someone asks you "what are you doing now?" what might you say?

- "I'm living in Berkeley and taking courses at the University"
- "I'm studying Information Systems and Service Design"
- "I'm listening to a lecture on business processes and was just asked what I was doing now"

You can answer the question at many different levels of abstraction.

What determines how you answer it?

Making a Pizza

1. Select recipe
2. Turn on as many lights as necessary to ensure adequate lighting in preparation and cooking area
3. Assemble required ingredients after washing and drying hands.
4. Sift 1/2 kg of flour into a large mixing bowl (preferably plastic), and then add a pinch of salt and pepper
5. Follow the rest of the preparation instructions in the recipe to make the pizza.
6. Pre-heat the oven to 350 degrees Fahrenheit.
7. Open the oven door, place the pizza in the oven, and close the door.
8. When the pizza is done remove it from the oven
Levels of Abstraction in Pizza Process Models

The Abstraction Hierarchy in Process Models [1]

Unlike when we model documents, which by their very nature embody a relatively concrete perspective in an organizational model, we can model processes at many different levels of abstraction.

We can model processes at an organizational level as the pattern of "value exchanges" between an enterprise and its suppliers, customers, and other entities in its "value chain".

These "value exchanges" connect activities to achieve some goal or solve some problem:

- These models usually ignore exception cases and steps that don't have much business significance (they are "happy path" or "success" models).
- They are also called "Level 1" or "qualitative" process models.
The Abstraction Hierarchy in Process Models

When all of the steps and cases are included at a detail needed to explain an "as is" situation or simulate most aspects of a "to be" one, they are sometimes called a "Level 2" model.

This level of description is what most people call a process model; transactions are visible, and documents and the processes that produce and consume them are at the same abstraction level.

This level of description is technology-independent.

---

The Abstraction Hierarchy in Process Models

A "Level 3" model contains all the details needed to implement the process.

Process models have little abstraction when we want to specify every detail of control and decision logic needed in an implementation.

A model at this level of abstraction would specify both the success and failure cases and define any information components that are process inputs or outputs.
The Abstraction Gap in Process Models

An abstract / coarse / strategic / goal-oriented view of processes (Level 1) is the top-down perspective of executive management.

The granular / transactional / implementation view is bottom-up, less likely to be technology-independent, and naturally emerges from operational personnel as they describe the processes and documents they work with.

When processes are implemented, they must be specified at a level that is compatible with the identities and capabilities of the actors that carry them out.

But when processes have "executable specification" it may be difficult to relate them to the strategies and goals at the abstract level.

Bridging the Abstraction Gap in Process Models

We need to bridge this gap to get a complete, balanced, and actionable view of the processes.

So there seems to be consensus that we need an intermediate level of process description that is more abstract than "transaction" and less abstract than "value chain".

Unfortunately, there isn't much consensus about what to call the different levels of abstraction.

- In ebXML, these three levels are: process/collaboration/transaction; in BPMN, they are process/subprocess/task.

Some but not all process metamodels and notations explicitly distinguish between human and computational actors.
The ebXML Process Metamodel

A process is "atomic" when it has no interesting subpart structure (i.e., when it is a task or a transaction)

But it is often very useful to hide the subpart structure of a process and treat it as if it were atomic... because that's what a higher level of abstraction does
Business "Transaction"

The most important perspective in process modeling for information system design is to describe processes at the level of the TRANSACTION.

A business transaction is an atomic unit of work in a trading or commercial relationship between two parties or services.

A business transaction is conducted between two parties or services playing REQUESTING and RESPONDING roles.

There is always a requesting DOCUMENT (or MESSAGE) and optionally a responding one, depending on whether the transaction semantics are one-way or two-way.

Sequence Diagram for "Submit Event"
Transactions
At the "business" level, a transaction either succeeds or fails.

- If it succeeds it may imply a legally binding contract or obligation between the parties participating in the transaction or otherwise govern their collaborating activities

- If the business transaction fails each partner must relinquish any mutual claim that would have been established by a successful transaction

Business transactions cannot be "rolled back" but the obligations established by a successful transaction can sometimes be undone by a COMPENSATING TRANSACTION

The time scale for a business transaction can range from seconds to days, weeks, or longer.
A DATABASE TRANSACTION is a group of statements or instructions to a database whose changes can be made permanent or undone only as a unit. Transactions provide a simple model of success or failure – a transaction either COMMITS (all its actions happen) or it ABORTS (all its pending actions are undone). This all-or-nothing quality makes for a simple programming model.

A transaction can be ROLLED BACK in the same unit with which it was committed to undo all of its effects and return the database to a prior state.

The time scale for a database transaction is measured in fractions of a second.

Modeling Transactions with Worksheets

A model of a transaction can be recorded as an artifact as a diagram, an XML instance, or as a "worksheet" that simply lists the information components in the metamodel of the transaction. You can tailor the modeling approach by customizing the worksheet to fit your or your client's methodology. Using worksheets to capture information lets the analyst capture "fragments" of the metamodel whenever they arise or are discovered. This is a less prescriptive and more user-friendly approach than the other notations, which require more information to be "well-formed"
Transaction Modeling Worksheet for "Submit Event"

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BUSINESS TRANSACTION VIEW WORKSHEET</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Worksheet ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Transaction Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transaction Pattern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initiating Partner Type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responding Partner Type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preconditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Begins When</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ends When</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exceptions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constraints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postconditions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Business Process Concept of "Collaboration"

Two or more business transactions can be sequenced to carry out a business collaboration

A business collaboration is a series of activities carried out by two or more partners for the purpose of achieving a business goal; these activities are business transactions

These transactions have more context in common with each other than with transactions that perform other parts of the business process
Meaningful and Necessary Semantic Overlap

The set of transactions in a collaboration have meaningful and necessary semantic overlap with each other

- They have parties/actors in common
- The overlap must be necessary -- two parties must need to know about each of their transactions with a third party for the set of transactions to be collaborative

Example: Collaborations in Drop Shipment
Business Process Modeling Notations

Process models, especially Level 1 and Level 2 ones, are conceptual: their meaning isn't tied to how they are represented or described or depicted.

Notations for representing process models can be informal -- box and arrow diagrams on napkins as an end case -- or formal.

More formal notations have the advantage that their explicit and consistent semantics can be supported by tools.

And formal notations, once learned, can facilitate the shared understanding that is usually the point of process modeling.

BPMN seems to be an emerging standard notation... but not the only one you probably need to have some familiarity with.
BPMN Notation - 3 "First-class" Elements

- **Activity** -- work performed in the process (rounded rectangle)
- **Gateway** - flow control logic (diamond, with icons)
- **Event** - a "signal" that something has happened; can be internal or external to a process (circle)

Activity Semantics

- Activities are actions, not functions, states, or handoffs
- Sequential flow is implicit in the (arrow) notation
- Likewise, you don't need "waiting for" activities; an activity starts when its incoming flow reaches it
- You can reinforce these ideas by labeling activities VERB-NOUN
Activity Semantics - Examples

Instead, the correct sequence should be this:

or if the budget document is received from outside the process instead of from a prior step, maybe this:

Control and Logic Patterns

Sequence
Choice
Merge
The Sequence Pattern

Sequence -- activities following each other -- is the default pattern for describing processes. Processes often look sequential when you take a coarse or distant view of them.

But is it Really a Sequence?

A sequence model like this assumes that processes are dependent and the transitions between them are unconditional.

A sequence model assumes that all inputs are treated the same way, and there are usually instance-level (content-based) rules that be applied to "thin" the queue or re-route items from it.

A GATEWAY can be used to split a sequence into multiple flows.
Gateway Semantics

Unlike activities, gateways do NOT perform work or make decisions. They control the flow of a process AFTER a decision has been made. So don't use gateways to represent decision logic.

Gateway Semantics - Examples

Incorrect Use of Gateway to Make Decision

Correct Use of Gateway to Route After Decision
Inefficiency in Sequence Pattern

Removing Inefficiency with Parallelism

Parallel Split and Synchronization Patterns
(Parallel Split | AND | Unconditional) Gateway

All of the outgoing paths are unconditionally enabled, which makes the gateway symbol redundant.

But we'll have to merge the parallel paths at some point...

---

Conditional Activities

Exclusive Choice Pattern

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Finance Clerk</th>
<th>Warehouse Clerk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Check Credit</td>
<td>Check Inventory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failed credit check</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notify Customer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Emerging "Event" Buzzwords in Process Modeling

CEP (Complex Event Processing)
EDA (Event-Driven Architecture)
Event-Driven BPM (Business Process Management)
Event-Driven SOA (Service Oriented Architecture)
ESP (Event Stream Processing)
BAM (Business Activity Monitoring)
The "Event-Driven" Enterprise
(Inclusive | OR ) Conditional Parallelism

Allowing for an Unconditional Activity
Merging Conditional Process Branches

Synchronized Merge (for Conditional Parallelism)
Poor Process Design Allows "Upstream" Cycles

Readings for 22 October

Robert J. Glushko & Tim McGrath, Document Engineering, Chapter 10 (through 10.6), "Designing business processes with patterns", 2006