Plan for Today's Class

Recall and Precision
Models for Information Retrieval
Text Processing Operations and Challenges
The Boolean Model
Overview of Remainder of Semester

We begin with introductory conceptual and technical foundations for IR.

The issues and models get progressively more complicated for the next 5 lectures.

On the Monday after Thanksgiving we have a lecture on multimedia retrieval (with lots of demos), followed by a lecture on applications of IR and natural language processing.

Last new material is "alumni day" (December 8) when some former students talk about their jobs, which emphasize IO and IR.

The last class meeting of the semester (December 10) is a course review to prepare you for a three-hour final exam on December 15.

Schematic View of Classical Search
IR Only Approximates "Finding Out About"

Recall and Precision

ALL DOCUMENTS

Relevant

Retrieved
Recall and Precision [2]

RECALL is the proportion of the relevant documents that are retrieved
PRECISION is the proportion of the retrieved documents that are relevant
Goal: High recall and precision - Get as much good stuff as possible while getting as little junk as possible

High Recall but Low Precision
Low Recall but High Precision

High Recall and High Precision
The core problems of information retrieval are finding relevant documents and ordering the found documents according to relevance.

The IR model explains how these problems are solved:

- By specifying the representations of queries and documents in the collection being searched.
- And the information used, and the calculations performed, that order the retrieved documents by relevance.
- (And optionally, the model provides mechanisms for using relevance feedback to improve precision and results ordering.)

**Models of Information Retrieval [2]**

**BOOLEAN model** -- representations are sets of index terms, set theory operations with Boolean algebra calculate relevance as binary.

**VECTOR models** -- representations are vectors with non-binary weighted index terms, linear algebra operations yield continuous measure of relevance.
STRUCTURE models -- combine representations of terms with information about structures within documents (i.e., hierarchical organization) and between documents (i.e., hypertext links and other explicit relationships) to determine which parts of documents and which documents are most important and relevant.

PROBABILISTIC models -- documents are represented by index terms, and the key assumption is that the terms are distributed differently in relevant and non relevant documents.

What is a "Document" in Information Retrieval?

A document is any individually retrievable item in the "pile of text" that makes up the COLLECTION.

Sometimes the boundaries that define documents are obvious or conventional (web search returns a web page), but sometimes they aren't.

"Carving up" or "chunking" large documents into smaller text passages may be required for some collections or some user interfaces.

A collection might contain any number of documents; web search engines index billions of pages.
What is a Query?

A query is the expression of a user’s information needs and can take many forms:

- A natural language description of the need
- An artificial and restricted language
  - Restrictions on the vocabulary limit the words that can be used in queries
  - Restrictions on syntax limit the ways words can be combined in logical expressions
  - These restrictions mean that queries may be unable to express the information need completely or accurately
- The user interface(s) to the IR system influence the kinds of queries that the user can express (or express easily)

Text Processing: Motivation

Not all words are equally useful indicators of what a document is about

- Nouns and noun groups carry more "aboutness" than adjectives, adverbs, and verbs
- Very frequent words that occur in all or most documents add NOISE because they cannot discriminate between documents
- So it is worthwhile to pre-process the text of documents to select a smaller set of terms that better represent them; these are called the INDEX terms
Text Processing: Operational Overview

1. DECODING -- extracting the text to be processed from its stored representation
2. FILTERING -- creating a stream of characters by removing formatting or non-semantic markup
3. TOKENIZATION -- segmenting the character stream into linguistic units
4. STOPWORD ELIMINATION -- remove words that poorly discriminate between documents
5. STEMMING -- removing affixes and suffixes to allow the retrieval of syntactic and morphological variations of query terms
6. SELECTING INDEX TERMS -- choosing word/stems (or groups of them) as indexing elements
7. CREATING AUXILIARY STRUCTURES -- like a THESAURUS

Decoding

The sequence of characters in a stored document might be represented in any number of single- or multi-byte encoding schemes.

Determining this encoding can be easy (file extensions or metadata) -- but not always.

Text encoding specs are well-documented but "commercial products can easily live or die by the range of encodings they support"
Guess That Encoding [1]

Guess That Encoding [2]

Degree products. The university currently offers a relatively fixed set of degree '93 products. If the university adopted Dell's '93 build to order '94 strategy how might its product offerings change? How might this new strategy affect the university's '93 market share '94 or '93 profitability '94 compared with its current strategy?

Numerous documents are currently involved in the end-to-
<Party>
  <Name First="Arnold" Last="Schwarzenegger"/>
  <Address>
    <StreetAddress>Governor's Mansion, 1526 H Street</StreetAddress>
    <City>Sacramento</City>
    <State>California</State>
    <PostalCode Route="1234">95814</PostalCode>
  </Address>
  <Phone>
    <AreaCode>916</AreaCode>
    <LocalNumber>323-3047</LocalNumber>
  </Phone>
</Party>

Filtering

Removing surrounding header or format information from the text to be processed

What you filter depends on the encoding format or document type

- You'd probably discard HTML markup before indexing
- You'd almost certainly save XML tags for indexing
- You'd probably want to use the rich metadata in email mail headers
Sentence Segmentation

Many IR and text processing applications require that the documents be broken into their constituent sentences. Punctuation marks like -- . , ! ? " -- can make this easy; but not always: sometimes you'll say "Dr. Glushko, this is too hard."

But abbreviations (Dr.) break the obvious rule, and even more complex rules like "period-space-capital letter" signals a sentence break still makes a lot of mistakes.

Tokenization into "Wordlike" Elements

Another problem that seems trivial -- just use white space, right?

But what about:

- abbreviations (Dr. is a word)
- hyphens (sometimes part of a word, but sometimes a result of formatting)
- case (do we distinguish Bank from bank)
Tokenization Challenges [1]

Character sequences where the tokens include complex alphanumeric structure or punctuation syntax:

- glushko@ischool.berkeley.edu
- 10/26/53
- October 26, 1953
- 55 B.C
- B-52
- 128.32.226.140
- My PGP key is 324a3df234ch23e

Tokenization Challenges [2]

Mr. O’Neill thinks that the boys’ stories about Chile’s capital aren’t amusing.

For O’Neill, which of the following is the desired tokenization?

And for aren’t, is it:
Tokenization Challenges [3]

The language that the characters represent needs to be identified during decoding because it influences the order and nature of tokenization.

In languages that are written right-to-left like Arabic and Hebrew, left-to-right text can be interspersed, like numbers and dollar amounts.

In German compound nouns don't have spaces between the tokens.

- Lebensversicherungsgesellschaftsangestellter = "life insurance company employee"

Tokenization in "Non-Segmented" Languages

And these problems in "segmented languages" that use white space and punctuation to delimit words seem trivial compared to problems tokenizing Oriental languages that are "non-segmented".

These languages have ideographic characters that can appear as one-character words but they also can combine to create new words.

The analogous problem in English would be the word "TOGETHER" -- do we treat it as one word or is three separate words "TO GET HER"?
Stop or Noise Words

Any word that doesn't convey meaning by itself can't help us "find out about" anything so it can be discarded during text processing.

In English these STOP or NOISE words include:

- determiners, such as "the" and "a(n)"
- auxiliaries, such as "might," "have," and "be"
- conjunctions, such as "and," "that," and "whether"
- degree adverbs, such as "very" and "too"

These words are always among the most frequent in a collection, but high frequency alone isn't what makes them bad index terms.

So stop or noise words are usually not determined by frequency analysis -- text processors usually employ a list of them as a kind of negative dictionary.

But Stop Words Should be Kept for Phrase Indexing

"President of the United States" is a more precise query than "President" AND "United States"

"To be or not to be"

"Let it Be"

"Flights to London" and "Flights from London" aren't the same query

"Laser printer toner cartridge" vs "Laser printer, with toner cartridge"
MORPHOLOGY is the part of linguistics concerned with the mechanisms by which natural languages create words and word forms from smaller units. These basic building blocks are called MORPHEMES and can express semantic concepts (when they are called ROOTS or abstract features like "pastness" or "plural"). Every natural language contains about 10,000 morphemes and because of how they combine to create words, the number of words is an order of magnitude greater.

**Inflection and Derivation**

INFLECTION is the morphological mechanism that changes the form of a word to handle tense, aspect, agreement, etc. It never changes the part-of-speech (grammatical category):

- dog, dogs
- tengo, tienes, tenemos, tienen

DERIVATION is the mechanism for creating new words, usually of a different part-of-speech category, by adding a BOUND MORPH to a BASE MORPH:

- build + ing -> building; health + y -> healthy
Morphological Processing

Morphological analysis of a language is often used in information retrieval and other low-level text processing applications (hyphenation, spelling correction) because solving problems using root forms and rules is more scaleable and robust than solving them using word lists

- Natural languages are generative, with new words continually being invented
- Many misspellings of common words are obscure low frequency words, so adding them to a misspelling list would make it impossible to check spellings for the latter

Stemming

STEMMING is morphological processing to remove prefixes and suffixes to leave the root form of words

Stemming reduces many related words and word forms to a common canonical form

This makes it possible to retrieve documents when they contain the meaning we're looking for even if the form of the search word doesn't exactly match what's in the documents

In English, inflectional morphology is relatively easy to handle and "dumb" stemmers (e.g., iteratively remove suffixes, matching longest sequence in rewrite rule) perform acceptably

Derivational morphology is more difficult
Stemming Mistakes

Stemming affects the recall/precision tradeoff

OVERSTEMMING results when stemming is so aggressive that it reduces words that are not morphologically related to the same root

- Organization, organ
- Policy, police
- Arm, army

UNDERSTEMMING results when stemming is too timid and some morphologically related words are not reduced to the same root

- acquire, acquiring, acquired -> acquir
- acquisition -> acquis

Selecting Index Terms

At this stage in text processing the text collection is represented as a set of stems

But not all of them will help a searcher find what they're looking for because they will retrieve too many or too few documents

We can select better index terms if we analyze the distribution of words / stems in the collection

- We can eliminate some terms entirely
- We will treat some terms as more important than others in indicating what a document is about
An index is a data structure that records information about the occurrences of terms in documents.

This is a term-document matrix -- rows for terms, columns for documents -- one such data structure.

The "Inverted" Index

Using a term-document matrix index representation is both infeasible and nonsensical for any substantial collection of documents.

So instead we divide the index into two parts:

- A DICTIONARY is a list of the terms
- A POSTINGS LIST is the list of documents in which each term occurs (usually with frequency and position information within each document)
Indexing Step 1 - Term List

Doc 1

Now is the time for all good men to come to the aid of their country

Doc 2

It was a dark and stormy night in the country manor. The time was past midnight

Step 2 -- Alphabetize and Merge
Boolean Queries

The simplest query language to implement is a Boolean one because it has a very direct correspondence to the text processing story and indexing story we just told.

Boolean queries dominate commercial IR systems (and are implemented but rarely used for web searches).

Boolean queries are expressed as Terms + Operators

- Terms are words or stemmed words
- Operators are AND, OR, NOT
Boolean Expressions

Usually expressed with INFIX operators:

- \(((a \text{ AND } b) \text{ OR } (c \text{ AND } b))\)

NOT is UNARY PREFIX operator:

- \(((a \text{ AND } b) \text{ OR } (c \text{ AND } \text{NOT } b))\)

AND and OR can be n-ary operators:

- \((a \text{ AND } b \text{ AND } c \text{ AND } d)\)

DeMorgan's Law:

- \(\text{NOT}(a) \text{ AND } \text{NOT}(b) = \text{NOT}(a \text{ OR } b)\)
- \(\text{NOT}(a) \text{ OR } \text{NOT}(b) = \text{NOT}(a \text{ AND } b)\)

Sample Boolean Queries

- Cat
- Cat OR Dog
- Cat AND Dog
- (Cat AND Dog) OR Collar
- (Cat AND Dog) OR (Collar AND Leash)
- (Cat OR Dog) AND (Collar OR Leash)
Interpreting Boolean Queries

(\text{Cat OR Dog}) \text{ AND } (\text{Collar OR Leash})

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Doc #</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAT</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOG</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COLLAR</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEASH</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Doc #</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAT</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOG</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COLLAR</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEASH</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Boolean Search with Inverted Indexes [1]

Permit fast search for individual terms

For each term, you get a list consisting of:

- Document ID
- Frequency of term in doc (optional)
- Position of term in doc (optional)
Boolean Search with Inverted Indexes [2]

**Dictionary**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>N docs</th>
<th>Td Freq</th>
<th>Doc #</th>
<th>Freq</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>atl</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>come</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>country</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dark</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>good</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>la</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>men</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>night</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>right</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>root</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>past</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stormy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>their</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>time</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>was</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Postings**

**QUERY:**
“time AND dark”

2 documents with “time” in dictionary have DOC#1, DOC#2 in posting file

1 document with “dark” in dictionary has DOC#2 in posting file

**SOLUTION:**
DOC#2 satisfies query

---

**Readings for Lecture #23 (11/17)**

Manning: Chapter 7: Vector Space Retrieval